

CITY OF JERSEY CITY



CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT (CAPER)

FY 2017

**STEVEN M. FULOP
MAYOR**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & COMMERCE
DIVISION OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
30 Montgomery Street, Suite 404, Jersey City, NJ 07302**

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	2
Executive Summary	3
CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes	5
CR-10- Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted.....	9
CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)	11
CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b).....	17
CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)	19
CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)	22
CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)	23
CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230	28
CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c).....	30
CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)	31
CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)	35
CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)	36
CR-65 - Persons Assisted	39
CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes	42
CR-75 – Expenditures	44

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Five-Year Consolidated Plan process was started by HUD in 1995 to provide a comprehensive approach for the use of HUD Entitlement funds. The City is continuing the Five Year Plan for the years 2015-2019. The Annual Performance and Evaluation prepared by the City of Jersey City for 2017 entitlement funds established priorities for the use of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME), Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) and Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) funds. Each year, the City, through its Division of Community Development, reviews the goals established in the Five Year Plan and established priorities for the next year. Following is a review of the five year goals, the one year priorities and the accomplishments of the four entitlement funding programs.

This annual report is the third for the 2015-2019 five year period. It should be noted that community development is an ongoing process and the review of activities for a specific time period only indicates a snap shot of the success of the individual activities. This provides results that cannot be measured by numbers. The assessment for the five year goals will address the items required in the narrative for the overall review as well as other actions required by Consolidated Plan.

Summary of Resources and Distribution of Funds

The City received funding for the four programs in FY2017 as follows:

CDBG	\$5,034,144
HOME	\$1,354,363
HOPWA	\$2,420,488
ESG	\$ 457,848
TOTAL	\$9,266,843

The City received \$31,899.38 in program income for the CDBG program \$441,213.49 for the HOME Program.

In addition to the HUD funding, other resources, such as private and non-Federal public sources were made available to address the identified community needs. Such funding included the Community Services Block Grant (CSBG), the Department of Energy, Public Housing and Section 8 grants, Low Income Housing Tax Credits, State funding for housing including the Special Needs Trust Fund and CHOICE and the Jersey City Affordable Housing Trust Fund.

The federal funds were allocated to meet the planned goals as follows:

Sort Order	Goal Name	Funding	Goal Outcome Indicator
1	Preserve and expand affordable housing	HOME: \$1,218,927	78 MLK - 3 ownership condos 90 Virginia - 5 ownership townhouses (5 owners, 5 renters)
2	Housing/services for persons who are homeless	ESG: \$463,919	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 1,208 Persons Assisted
3	Provide public services	CDBG: \$702,000	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 12,624 Persons Assisted
4	Improve public facilities and infrastructure	CDBG: \$2,301,628	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 4,687 Persons Assisted
5	Housing and services for persons with HIV/AIDS	HOPWA: \$2,325,656	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 423 Households Assisted
6	Economic Development	CDBG: \$200,000	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 202 Persons Assisted
7	Planning and administration	CDBG: \$1,006,828 HOPWA: \$72,504 HOME: \$135,436	

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The City of Jersey is responsible to annually complete the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER), which summarizes the accomplishments and progress of its corresponding Annual Action Plan for the past fiscal year. This CAPER summarizes how federal funds were invested during its third year of the City of Jersey City's Five-Year Consolidated Plan between April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2018 (PY 17). The City receives funding from the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for use in the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Home Investment Partnership (HOME) program, Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG), and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA). These funds are used to benefit of low- and moderate-income residents of Jersey City, as well as promote integrated approaches that provide decent housing, a suitable living environment, and expand economic opportunities.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	985	794	80.61%			
Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	0	0		202	197	97.52%

Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	0	0		1	0	0.00%
Housing and services for persons with HIV/AIDS	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	2595	2595	100.00%			
Housing and services for persons with HIV/AIDS	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	0	0		221	221	100.00%
Housing and services for persons with HIV/AIDS	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	0		51	51	100.00%
Housing and services for persons with HIV/AIDS	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	HIV/AIDS Housing Operations	Household Housing Unit	0	0		22	22	100.00%
Housing and services for persons with HIV/AIDS	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Other	Other	0	0		11	11	100.00%
Housing/services for persons who are homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	6620	6620	100.00%			
Housing/services for persons who are homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	0	0		50	50	100.00%

Housing/services for persons who are homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	0	0		880	880	100.00%
Housing/services for persons who are homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Other	Other	0	0		300	3000	1,000.00%
Improve public facilities and infrastructure	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	23435	23435	100.00%	1411	1411	100.00%
Planning and administration	Administration	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$	Other	Other	1	1	100.00%	3	3	100.00%
Preserve and expand affordable housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	150	150	100.00%	150	0	0.00%
Preserve and expand affordable housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	20	20	100.00%	20	0	0.00%
Preserve and expand affordable housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	70	70	100.00%			

Preserve and expand affordable housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	130	130	100.00%	70	0	0.00%
Preserve and expand affordable housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	0	0		0	0	
Provide public services	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	67275	44663	66.39%	15248	11142	73.07%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

CDBG funds as well as HOME, ESG and HOPWA funds address high priority needs by supporting programs and activities that address the goals of the Five Year Plan, especially meeting affordable housing needs, ending homelessness and improving the quality of life for City's low- and moderate-income residents through improved services and facilities.

Please note, zero (0) households were assisted with the Homeowner Rehabilitation Program (HORP) grant during PY 2017. This is due to our HORP program being suspended. We created a new manual and renamed the program to CDBG- Home Improvement Program (CDBG-HIP). For the PY 2017, we were unable to assist any households until the new manual was created. This manual was approved by the HUD Field Office in March of 2018.

Please also note that in table 1, under the "improve public facilities and infrastructure" the city is still trying to determine the accuracy of the number and will be reporting back to the field office once we have analyzed their report and data submissions from the department of public

works.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

CDBG Program:

Traditionally projects that are awarded CDBG Public Facilities and Infrastructure funds during an Annual Action Plan may not produce beneficiary data until a later program year as public facility rehab and infrastructure construction may overlap multiple program years before completion. A total of 7 CDBG-PF funded projects that were awarded funds in PY2017 are still in Jersey City's pipeline of these projects we expected to have final drawdowns and produce beneficiary data in the upcoming months.

The Community Development Block Grant Public Services funded 26 programs in 2017 under the following categories: Youth Enrichment, Healthy Living for Seniors, Services for Homeless individuals and Families, and Economic and Development. A total of 11,142 clients were assisted through the provision of critical services such as Educational Youth Services, Basic Needs and Advocacy for youth in the Court and Foster systems, General Social Services, Mental Health Services, Violence Prevention Services, Services for Formerly Incarcerated Persons, Senior Services, Housing Counseling Services and Direct Homeless Outreach Services.

The Relocation Assistance program provides assistance for tenants that have been displaced from their residence due to the following circumstances: building, housing or health code enforcement activities, accidental fire not caused by the tenant, government acquisition, code enforcement rehabilitation, and the "g" provisions under the Residential Eviction Law (P.L. 1993, c. 342).

HOME Program

The purpose of Golden Neighborhood Homeownership Program (GNHP) is to assist with down-payment and closing costs for Low- and Moderate-Income first-time homebuyers. According to the Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) PR23 Report, a total of 1 property (one household) consisting of 1 LMI individual was assisted through the first-time homebuyer program during the program year.

During the 2017 Program Year, the City official "closed" and commenced the affordability period for the following projects:

- Jackson Green Townhouse Ownership, Fleet Manor Condo Ownership, Weber Friar Townhouse Ownership, A. Harry Moore IV, Hope VI Rental, 139 Garfield Ave First-Time Homebuyer

Two projects were awarded HOME funds in Jersey City's 2017-18 Annual Action Plan:

- 90 Virginia Avenue Townhouse Ownership by the Garden State Episcopal CDC (CHDO-Certified) consisting of 10 HOME-assisted units; 78 MLK (Cyan Condos) by 78 MLK, LLC. consisting of 3 HOME-assisted units

These projects are currently in Jersey City's pipeline of projects of affordable housing and do not have beneficiary data at this time.

Traditionally, projects that are awarded HOME funds during an Annual Action Plan may not produce beneficiary data until a later program year as new construction and/or rehabs may overlap multiple program years before completion. A total of 15 HOME-funded projects, including the PY 2017 awarded projects, are currently in Jersey City's pipeline. Of these projects, five projects are expected to have final drawdowns and produce beneficiary data in the next CAPER.

The City's ESG funds continued to serve one of our most vulnerable populations; the homeless. These families and individuals were provided a variation of the following services; emergency shelter and supportive services, rental assistance to be rapidly rehoused, and street outreach services.

The City has continued to fund essential housing services for one its most vulnerable populations, homeless persons living with HIV/AIDs.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	CDBG	5,076,730	582,833
HOME	HOME	1,495,791	0
HOPWA	HOPWA	2,420,486	1,172,331
ESG	ESG	457,848	288,796
Other	Other		

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

The above federal resources or funds were made available for meeting the objectives of the Consolidated Plan during the period April 1 2017-March 31 2018

Federal resources were made available for meeting the objectives of the Consolidated Plan during the period April 1, 2017-March 31, 2018. Program funds were primarily spent in the areas of the City that are more than 51% low mod income. The City also spent funds in non-impacted areas of Jersey City while continuing to benefit low and moderate income persons.

The amount expended during PY17 were successful vouchers sent to LOCCS towards all HUD-Funded Activities. This amount is reflective in the attached PR07 Report made available through HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System.

CDBG Voucher Drawdowns in the 2017-2018 year were made for:

- 24 Public Service Programs
- 7 Public Facilities Programs
- 1 Homeowner Improvement Program
- 1 Relocation Program
- Administration

HOME voucher drawdowns in the 2017-18 year were made for:

- Garden State Episcopal NRP I PI Scattered Sites
- Garden State Episcopal NRP II Scattered Sites
- Garden State Episcopal NRP III Scattered Sites
- Fleet Manor
- 108 Storms Ave
- Weber Friars Homes
- Liberty Manor Development LLC

- A Harry Moor IV
- Jackson GreenTop of Form
- 138 Garfield Ave First-Time Homebuyer
- Garden State Episcopal 474 480 Ocean Avenue
- Hilltop View 118 Summit Ave GSECDC
- HOME Administration

HOPWA voucher drawdowns for 2017-2018 year were for:

- Tenant Based Rental Assistance
- Short Term Rental, Mortgage, and Utilities
- Administration

ESG voucher drawdowns for the 2017-2018 year were for:

- Rapid Rehousing
- Outreach Services
- Homelessness Prevention
- Emergency Shelter

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Citywide	100	100	

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

Program funds were primarily spent in the areas of the City that are more than 51% low mod income. The City also spent funds in non-impacted areas of Jersey City while continuing to benefit low and moderate income persons.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Individual projects under HOME are highly leveraged with funding provided by the development entity for each project. New Affordable Housing Trust Funds (AHTF) projects have rental affordability periods and rent structures to enable the funding for these projects to count toward HOME match. For all PY 2017 HOME-funded projects, a total of \$2,877,249 of AHTF money were also used as permanent source of financing.

ESG: The 100% matching requirement under ESG is fulfilled by subrecipient contributions. Matching dollars were contributed by the following sources for FY2017:

- Catholic Charities of Archdiocese of Newark (St. Lucy's Shelter): Sources include non-ESG funding from HUD, Other federal funding, State funding and local funding.
- Catholic Charities of Archdiocese of Newark (Hope House): Sources include private and local funding.
- Garden State Episcopal Community Development Corporation (Street Outreach and Rapid Re-housing). Sources include non-ESG funding from HUD, Other federal funding, State funding and local funding.
- York Street Project's Saint Josephs Home (Family shelter): Private cash donations

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	17,553,450
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	4,572,116
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	22,125,566
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	267,895
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	21,857,671

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
1 J.C. Public House Authority	04/12/2017	214,869	0	0	0	0	0	214,869
10 Garden state Episcopal	04/26/2017	11,715	0	0	0	0	0	11,715
11 Genesis Ocean Associates	04/26/2017	1,350,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,350,000
12 J.C. Public House Authority	04/24/2017	1,214,869	0	0	0	0	0	1,214,869
13 J.C. Public House Authority	05/10/2017	585,131	0	0	0	0	0	585,131
14 Morris Canal Redevelopment	05/24/2017	100,000	0	0	0	0	0	100,000
15 105 Old Bergen	06/14/2017	95,006	0	0	0	0	0	95,006
16 Terzetto	07/19/2017	89,262	0	0	0	0	0	89,262

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
17 Roseville Ave Redevelopment	08/16/2017	198,000	0	0	0	0	0	198,000
2 Garden State Episcopal	08/16/2017	34,459	0	0	0	0	0	34,459
3 Terzetto	10/25/2017	30,953	0	0	0	0	0	30,953
4 Garden State Episcopal	10/25/2017	19,834	0	0	0	0	0	19,834
5 972-976 Summit	12/13/2017	371,786	0	0	0	0	0	371,786
6 Terzetto	01/24/2018	27,121	0	0	0	0	0	27,121
7 Terzetto	03/14/2018	50,337	0	0	0	0	0	50,337
8 972-976 Summit	03/14/2018	151,163	0	0	0	0	0	151,163
9 Garden State Episcopal	03/14/2018	27,610	0	0	0	0	0	27,610

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
0	0	0	0	0

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	4	0	0	4	0	0
Dollar Amount	2,099,439	0	0	2,099,439	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	1	1	0			
Dollar Amount	56,033	56,033	0			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	52	52
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	70	48
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	243	243
Total	365	343

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	295	295
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	10	10
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	60	60
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	0	1
Total	365	366

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The City of Jersey City is reporting on only HOME-assisted projects in Tables 11 and 12 this CAPER. The City has created an additional 140 units of affordable housing through its Affordable Housing Trust Fund program, which is non-HUD funded.

Traditionally, when a project is awarded HOME funds or Jersey City’s Affordable Trust Fund money, projects may take multiple years to complete and produce beneficiary data. A total of 25 Division of Community Development funded projects are currently in the affordable housing pipeline. These projects include both HUD-funded and City-funded money.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

The City will continue to leverage its resources in creating affordable housing in future annual action plans.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	6
Low-income	0	16
Moderate-income	0	26
Total	0	48

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

The PR-23 for HOME accomplishments does not reflect the extent of performance in FY 2017. As explained in CR-10 of this CAPER, HOME-funded projects may take multiple program years to complete and produce beneficiary data. During the past fiscal year, twelve HOME-funded projects had voucher drawdowns towards completion of project. In the appendices of this CAPER is a PY 2017 Jersey City list of HOME- and Affordable Trust Fund funded projects in the pipeline.

During Program Year 2017, the City closed and commenced the affordability of five HOME projects. These projects include:

- Nine (9) ownership HOME-funded units at Weber Friar Homes
- Twelve (12) ownership HOME-funded units at Jackson Green
- Six (6) ownership HOME-funded units at Fleet Manor
- Twenty (20) rental HOME-funded units at A. Harry Moore IV
- One (1) acquisition unit for an LMI first-time homebuyer

During Program Year 2017, the City closed and commenced the affordability of two Affordable Housing Trust Fund (municipal dollars) projects. These projects include:

- Nine (9) rental AHTF-only funded units at Weber Friar Homes

- One-hundred-thirty-one (131) rental AHTF-only funded units at City Crossing

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

To help strengthen and improve outreach efforts to the homeless and overall coordination and access of local homeless program, the City supported and worked with Garden State Episcopal CDC's (GSECDC) homeless outreach team and the Hudson County Coordinated Entry Program (CEP)/Hudson CASA, managed through GSECDC. All ESG And CDBG funded homeless programs were required to work with CEP and follow the CoC's policies and procedures. This requirement is included in all ESG contracts. Also, as Vice Chair, the City is instrumental in the CoC planning process and collaborating with its membership agencies which focus on expanding and strengthening local coordination of services. Additionally, the City's Mayor's Taskforce assigned its Police Officers to help street outreach efforts and to work closely with DCD and Garden State's outreach team to meet such needs. The Jersey City government continues to operate a Resident Response Center to respond and refer residents to the Hudson County Coordinated Assessment Program, local shelters and drop in centers, and address other referral needs to help prevent homelessness among Jersey City residents. DCD, Resident Response Center, and the City's Department of Health and Human Services are all members of the CoC.

The City of Jersey City has also made strides in developing stronger partnerships with local child welfare agencies, the Board of Education's McKinney-Vento Homeless Liaison, and local youth and family serving organizations in reference to identifying and serving homeless families and youth.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

Through the coordination of the CoC, a plethora of organizations have been, and continue to be, instrumental forces in providing primary outreach, emergency shelter services, housing services, and emergency food through the local CoC network, which the City Vice Chairs. The collaboration between Hudson County and Jersey City for the Continuum of Care process and planning to oversee the implementation of the plan to end homelessness will continue to assist Hudson County in better addressing the needs of the homeless.

The Hudson County Comprehensive Emergency Assistance System (CEAS) Committee and the HCAEH work closely together to address the issue of homelessness and the chronically homeless. The City continues to serve as a key partner in both planning processes, providing input, and researching methods on how to improve housing and supportive service to the homeless in Hudson County.

The City also serves as the Chair to the CoC's Homeless Youth Committee, which has made significant strides in the last several years to highlight the needs and gaps in services for homeless youth and young

adults.

Jersey City's entitlement grant funds, especially Emergency Shelter Grants, are used each year to fund homeless shelters, street outreach, and rapid rehousing services provided by the following local agencies: GSEDC's Homeless Outreach Program, GSEDC's Rapid Rehousing program, St. Lucy's Shelter, and Hope House.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

As Vice Chair, the City works with the CoC to highlight gaps in services and to strengthen discharge planning and policies in the systems listed above. In addition to this, there are many social service agencies in Jersey City and throughout Hudson County that provide benefits to very low and low income individuals and families in order to prevent homelessness. These organizations also serve the needs of those who have already become homeless. Jersey City's Resident Response Center and the Hudson County CEP program provides referrals to other government agencies and/or social services when needed. These agencies include:

- Hudson County Division of Welfare
- Catholic Community Services Archdiocese of Newark
- Bayonne Economic Opportunity Fund
- United Way of Hudson County
- North Hudson Community Action Corporation
- Let's Celebrate, Inc.

These organizations provide many services to homeless or at-risk public housing residents and other at-risk populations including but not limited to: counseling, case management, life skills training, financial literacy classes, and victim advocacy. These services help residents develop skills and knowledge to transition into permanent supportive housing or independent living and to gain steady employment.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Through the system wide coordination of the CoC, homeless persons and households receive direct access to our homeless system through the Hudson County Coordinated Entry program (CEP). All homeless program supported through DCD are required to follow these procedures and meet the CoC performance standards. This ensures our City's homeless are accessing housing and rental assistance earmarked for the homeless. In addition to this housing, CEP also connected households to supportive services through referrals to local partners which include job training, health services, legal services, and housing and support services. In addition to this, DCD works with the CoC to address key performance issues at shelters and outreach programs to ensure they are properly supporting the overall homeless system. Jersey City's entitlement grant funds, especially Emergency Solutions Grants, are used each year to fund homeless shelters, street outreach, and rental assistance programs. All programs are monitored and evaluated for their returns to homelessness and are urged to focus case management efforts on long-term, permanent housing plans.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The JCHA redevelopment of Montgomery Gardens moved closer to reality with an anticipated start date in July 2016. The City has contributed Affordable Housing Trust Funds to this project.

The JCHA continues to explore the option of applying for RAD for each of its developments in connection with its current Physical Needs Assessment update. RAD provides a Section 8 project-based subsidy for each unit rather than rely on the under-funded Public Housing Modernization grants. The City has not contributed to rehabilitation of the public housing units.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

Jersey City continues to work with the Jersey City Housing Authority on public housing and resident initiatives. The City is collaborating with the JCHA to provide homeownership opportunities to eligible housing authority tenants and/or Section 8 voucher recipients. We have worked with the Housing Authority on all of the Hope VI projects and other housing initiatives. Also, the City of Jersey City provides funding to the Housing Authority for the operation of youth centers at multiple housing developments.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

The City has not taken any action to provide federally funded assistance to the JCHA. In 2014 the JCHA was identified as a Troubled Agency by HUD. The JCHA has applied for the City's locally funded Affordable Housing Trust Fund.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

The City has undertaken a number of steps to remove barriers to affordable housing:

- The City waives most permit fees for affordable housing development;
- The City has established an Affordable Housing Trust Fund to support the development of affordable housing;
- The City will take the lead in implementing the provisions of the Abandoned Property Rehabilitation Act;
- The City will collaborate with affordable housing stakeholders to overcome misconceptions about affordable housing;
- The City will work with developers to identify other private, state, and federal funds to subsidize the development of affordable housing;
- The City will continue to participate in the Homeless Continuum of Care process to address housing needs for homeless and special needs populations;
- The City will give preference to developers creating housing units for people below 30% of AMI;
- The City has increased the subsidy amount for first-time homebuyers to expand their purchase options in the City;
- The City will develop a lender's consortium to provide market-rate conventional loans to first-time homebuyers; and
- The City will convey property, where feasible, for the development of affordable housing.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City of Jersey City is committed to allocating funds that serve the needs of the lowest income and most disadvantaged residents. Households with incomes less than 50% of the area median income, especially those with extremely low incomes (less than 30% of area median income), are particular priorities. The City has also identified special needs individuals as among those who face the greatest challenges and who should receive high priority in the expenditure of federal funds, including at-risk children and youth, low income families, the homeless and persons threatened with homelessness, the elderly, and persons with disabilities.

The primary obstacle to meeting underserved needs is the limited resources available to address identified priorities. Jersey City partners with other public agencies and non-profit organizations, when feasible, to leverage resources and maximize outcomes involving housing and community development activities. In addition, several other obstacles to meeting underserved needs include:

- Increased need for affordable housing and supportive services resulting from economic downturn while budgets for projects are generally stretched in good economic conditions, the recent economic downturn has exacerbated these issues and concerns.
- Increased foreclosures & unemployment in addition to the community implications of the recent economic downturn are effects on a more individual basis, such as a high foreclosure rate, the associated problem of poor credit, and rising unemployment.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Currently the Division of Community Development (DCD), in conjunction with the State and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), requires that all contractors employed on HUD funded projects are certified according to the Renovation, Repair and Painting (RRP) Final Rule (40 C.F.R. 745) and the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule.

HUD regulations require DCD to address any potential lead-based paint hazards in homes assisted with federal funds. DCD is issuing an RFP to hire a contractor to stabilize and abate the lead-based paint in homes assisted with federal funds. Our in house environmental specialist will perform clearance on the homes after the stabilization and abatement is completed.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City of Jersey City focuses on building stronger relationships with multiple organizations in an effort to address poverty in the community. Specific initiatives are as follows:

Job Creation

The City of Jersey City created employment opportunities for residents by supporting projects that create jobs for low-income residents. CDBG funds have been used to provide job training to assist residents with job skills and to create their own businesses. Support was provided to Rising Tide to provide loans to new and expanding business to create jobs for low- to moderate-income residents. The City has developed the Employment Registry within the Jersey City Employment and Training Program. This registry is used to connect jobs with employers and service employment needs. The Jersey City Employment and Training Program is part of the one-stop center.

Social Service Initiative

The City of Jersey City provided funding to a wide array of agencies that provided services for Jersey City residents. Services range from educational support services designed to increase the likelihood of future success for youth to job readiness and employment training services to assist unemployed and underemployed residents to transition into the workforce. As part of the overall anti-poverty strategy,

the City has focused on financial literacy education for low/moderate income individuals. To this end, the City has been working collaboratively with local non-profits and lenders to deliver quality programs to enhance residents' financial literacy.

Housing

The City of Jersey City continues to encourage homeownership for low/moderate income persons. A contract was awarded to Housing Partnership Development Corporation to provide pre and post purchase training and counseling to eligible GNHP applications. Held pre-purchase training class on February 24, 2018 with eighteen 18 applicants.

The City collaborated with other agencies and non-profits to help address foreclosures in Jersey City. These groups provided foreclosure intervention workshops/seminars, homeownership counseling, financial literacy workshops, and mediation as appropriate.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Coordinating and building collaborations is the key to overcoming gaps in our institutional structure. During the past year, the City has continued to build collaborations with social service providers, community development organizations, autonomous agencies and other units of government. The City of Jersey City and Hudson County work together with the non-profit community to develop a comprehensive plan for serving homeless and special needs persons. Staff members from the Jersey City Division of Community Development serve on various boards, councils and coalitions. This provided the opportunity for a valuable exchange of information that helps to build stronger programs.

The Division of Community Development is an active participant in the following local committees/coalitions:

- Housing and Community Development Network of New Jersey (HCDNNJ): The Division of Community Development works collaboratively with this group to facilitate the City's abandoned properties initiative. In addition, HCDNNJ provides assistance and networking opportunities focused on affordable housing.
- Hudson County HIV/AIDS Planning Council: This council consist of HIV/AIDS funders, providers and consumers.
- Quality of Life Task Force: Consists of various City departments and divisions that come together to address code violations and possible eminent hazard conditions.
- Food and Shelter Coalition: Consists of providers of housing and supportive services that target homeless individuals and families.
- Comprehensive Emergency Assistance System (CEAS): Consists of local, county and state department representatives. This committee meets to discuss and address issues of homelessness.

- Housing and Community Development Revitalization Committee (HCDRC): Consists of City officials, for profit and non-profit housing developers, lenders and a consultant. The group meets to discuss affordable housing development and other topics relevant to community development.
- Hudson County Alliance to End Homelessness (HCAEH): Consists of providers of housing and supportive services for homeless individuals and families, City and County representatives, and state department representatives and a representative of a statewide advocacy group for the homeless. This group comes together to plan and prepare competitive grant applications to address homeless issues.
- Jersey City Housing Authority (JCHA): The City is collaborating with the JCHA to provide homeownership opportunities to eligible housing authority tenants and/or Section 8 voucher recipients.

The Jersey City Division of Community Development also works collaboratively with many other organizations to improve the quality of housing and services for Jersey City residents.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Annually, the City coordinates technical assistance meetings that serve as an excellent opportunity to foster coordination among funded agencies including housing developers and social services. Further, the City has provided funding support for several assisted housing developments for homeless persons and veterans. These programs bring together service providers and developers to serve a common mission.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

The 2011 Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing identified thirteen (13) impediments. During FY 2016, the City undertook actions to address many of these including:

- Increased meaningful access to persons with limited English Proficiency. A good many of the staff of the Department are bilingual and are readily available to present program content in Spanish. The LAP was adopted in 2013. The City continues to publish information in the Spanish newspaper and translates materials into Spanish. The city has increased its staff from two Spanish-speaking employees to six.
- Members of protected classes sit on boards and commissions in increasing numbers. The City currently has an Office of Diversity and Inclusion to address diversity in construction projects funded with municipal and federal dollars, as well as the Office of Welcoming Communities to help our diverse immigrant communities receive assistance from various city agencies.
- Increased supply of units that are accessible to persons with disabilities and utilization of Hudson Community Enterprises (HCE) as part of the marketing plan for all assisted units. HOME

applications are more favorably reviewed when accessible units are included. When accessible rental units are available, developers must notify HCE.

- Created housing opportunities in non-impacted areas. The Mayor has created a city-wide Housing Plan that uses a holistic approach to providing affordable housing throughout Jersey City. Developers are given incentives to create on-site affordable housing units in areas that lack affordable housing.
- Continued the City's systematic code enforcement policy to improve the City's housing stock. The City continues to aggressively monitor vacant/abandoned properties through its Vacant Building Initiative and through APRA properties that are being conveyed to developers to create affordable housing.
- Higher preference is given to the development of units with 3 or more bedrooms.
- Provided fair housing education and training and decrease complaints about discrimination in housing. The City partnered with Hudson County and conducted a Fair Housing Training on April 21, 2016.
- Reduced rate of denial of mortgage loans

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

All Community Development Block grant providers are required to attend annual technical assistance workshops to become familiar with program obligations. Each sub recipient must submit quarterly reports; and disbursement requests with appropriate supporting documentation for all expenses incurred. Annual risk assessments are completed for all public service projects. On-site visits were completed for each funded activity. High-risk projects are scheduled to receive additional on-site visits. Each funded public service activity is monitored regularly utilizing system controls such as quarterly activity reports, on-site visitation and consistent communication with DCD staff.

The City prepared a risk analysis for the public service activities to determine which activities warrant more frequent monitoring on-site. Factors such as timeliness, program income, audits, the size of the grant award, prior monitoring findings, citizen complaints or news articles and the organizations timely response to the same, are factors considered in determining risk.

Public facility projects are monitored continually through on-site inspections of work in progress, labor compliance, payment requests, and upon completion. The agency activities are monitored to ensure that they serve low income residents.

Housing activities are also monitored continually. Work is inspected to verify payment requests, for payroll compliance as appropriate and by City Codes to ensure work is meeting codes. A final inspection is conducted leading to a Certificate of Occupancy by the City.

HOME program monitoring takes place prior to, during, and after construction. Recipients of HOME funds must meet with DCD staff prior to construction to review all aspects of their projects. During construction, DCD reviews and approves all disbursement requests for the payment of construction draws and change orders. DCD periodically inspects construction projects, attends construction meetings as necessary, and monitors compliance with other federal requirements. Post-construction, DCD monitors tenant selection procedures and affirmative marketing. Projects are monitored to ensure that tenants/owners meet the HOME income eligibility requirements. Ongoing monitoring/ inspections ensure that units meet the housing quality standards. When HOME funds are used to provide a direct subsidy to potential homebuyers, units are monitored to ensure compliance with lead-based paint and Housing Quality Standards.

All ESG and HOPWA funded projects are monitored annually. Subgrantees must attend annual technical assistance workshops to become familiar with program and regulatory requirements; submit quarterly

reports; and submit disbursement requests with appropriate supporting documentation for all expenses incurred. Subgrantees are monitored regularly via on-going telephone contact with DCD staff and HMIS.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The City announced that the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) was available for public comment on June 12, 2017. The Citizen Participation Plan provides for a fifteen (15) day comment period. The CAPER was available in the Office of the City Clerk, the Public Library and at the Division of Community Development. During the annual plan public hearing held on June 19, 2017, comments were invited on the past use of funds. An announcement was made that the CAPER was on display for anyone wishing to review a copy. No comments were received.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

The City continues to work to improve program operations and oversight consistent with recent OIG and HUD audit findings. Policies and procedures are being drafted to guide staff in the management of program funds. More emphasis must be placed on program compliance and documentation.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

A total of 146 Units were inspected from PY 2017, no issues were detected

Inspections PY 2017

Address	Number of Units Inspected	Issues Detected
2854 Kennedy Blvd.	6	125 Seaview
Avenue 7	268	Fairmount Avenue 7
1	503	Garfield Avenue 8
Bridgets	2	45-51 Martin Luther King Drive
80 Storms Avenue	1	82 Storms Avenue
257 Pine Street	1	19 Astor Place
Bergen Avenue	27	301 Martin Luther King Drive
195 Clinton Avenue	5	2 Hague Street
Bramhall Avenue	18	117-119 Bostwick Avenue
152 Arlington Street	1	218 Whiton Street
520-522 Ocean Avenue	6	110 Fulton Avenue
219 Whiton Street	1	119 Rutgers Avenue
Total	146	

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

According to HOME regulations 92.351, affirmative marketing procedures are required for rental and homebuyer projects containing five or more HOME-assisted housing units. However, Jersey City will require an affirmative marketing plan for all HOME-assisted projects, even if they contain less than five HOME-assisted units.

During the HOME Application process, developers who wish to seek HOME funds must submit an Affirmative Marketing Plan. The steps outlined must be approved by the City to ensure that the developers will reach the targeted audience.

Throughout the monitoring process, Jersey City will ensure the following steps are taken to ensure affirmative marketing policy and procedures are being practiced:

- Each HOME-funded project is advertised in local newspapers, including newspapers targeted to Spanish speaking populations.
- Developers are advised to contact local community groups, including groups representing populations least likely to apply for the housing, such as non-English speaking populations.
- The list of records which are kept by the City of Jersey City include: Project developers Affirmative Marketing Plan. Copies of ads placed, or list of when/where ads were placed. A list of community groups contacted.
- The list of records to be kept by the developer will include: A list of renters/owners with income qualifications, race and ethnicity. A record of ads placed marketing the project. A record of contacts with local community groups.

Jersey City will determine whether marketing efforts have been made as required, and whether the marketing has reached the targeted populations. If targeted populations are not receiving the marketing, the City of Jersey City will investigate alternative methods for reaching these populations.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

The City of Jersey City leverages state and local funding to foster and maintain affordable housing. HOME funds and Affordable Housing Trust Funds, which are made available through Long Term Tax Exemption developer contributions under N.J.S.A. 40A:20-1 et seq., have been used to create and rehabilitate affordable housing. The City has a Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILOTs) program that leverages economic activity taking place in Jersey City to create significant amounts of new affordable housing. Jersey City's Abandoned Properties and Rehabilitation Ordinance encourages entities seeking to build affordable housing the option of purchasing certain properties at a lower cost.

The City plans to get the CDBG-funded HARP rehabilitation program back on line for the 2017-2018 program year. This program provides funds for low and moderate income homeowners to rehabilitate their homes and bring them up to code. Lead-based paint and accessibility may also be addressed.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or family	60	77
Tenant-based rental assistance	145	142
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	22	25
Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	34	38

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

The number of PH units continually supported through HOPWA is 8 and the goal was 7.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name JERSEY CITY
Organizational DUNS Number 808597822
EIN/TIN Number 226002013
Identify the Field Office NEWARK

Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance

Jersey City/Bayonne/Hudson County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Ms
First Name	Carmen
Middle Name	0
Last Name	Gandulla
Suffix	0
Title	Director

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	30 Montgomery St
Street Address 2	0
City	Jersey City
State	NJ
ZIP Code	-
Phone Number	2015475304
Extension	0
Fax Number	0
Email Address	cgandulla@jcnj.org

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix
First Name
Last Name
Suffix
Title
Phone Number
Extension
Email Address

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	04/01/2017
Program Year End Date	03/31/2018

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ST. LUCY'S SHELTER

City: Jersey City

State: NJ

Zip Code: 07310, 1227

DUNS Number: 222164120

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 153100

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: HOPE HOUSE SHELTER

City: Jersey City

State: NJ

Zip Code: 07302, 2816

DUNS Number: 222164120

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 66667

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	852
Children	226
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	1,078

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	1,142
Children	387
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	1,529

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	957
Female	566
Transgender	6
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	1,529

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	192
18-24	34
25 and over	1,303
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	1,529

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	1,303	0	3	13
Victims of Domestic Violence	136	0	3	10
Elderly	201	0	6	38
HIV/AIDS	14	0	3	11
Chronically Homeless	524	0	19	33
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	112	0	28	83
Chronic Substance Abuse	162	0	0	38
Other Disability	105	0	10	7
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	379	0	72	233

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	52,469
Total Number of bed-nights provided	51,734
Capacity Utilization	98.60%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

Note: Performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC.

PS 1: Overall reduction in the number of homeless individuals and families.

At the time of drafting this Plan final 2018 PITC count numbers have not been released in order to evaluate overall reduction in the numbers of homeless individuals.

PS 2: The length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

PS 4: Successful placement from street outreach

- Show that at least 20% of households served by street outreach programs enrolled in emergency shelter, transitional housing or permanent housing programs or destinations upon exit from the outreach program

ESG did fund street outreach activities in program year 2017, however Street Outreach is still a new program activity to the City’s ESG/CoC programming and our performance reporting is lacking due to this. We are working with the CoC and the contracted agency to better track the outcomes of successful placement from street outreach. Currently, there are HMIS reporting limitations we are addressing. We also are exploring how to adequately track outreach linkages to shelter and drop in centers in order to provide an illustration of key performance indicators of outreach work.

,PS 5: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons

- Show that at least 85% of households receive some form of earned or unearned income
- Show that at least 85% of households are connected to mainstream non-cash benefits

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2015	2016	2017
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	15,789	31,993	17,950
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	15,789	31,993	17,950

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2015	2016	2017
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	1,525	34,338	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	33,770	6,623	51,535
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	35,295	40,961	51,535

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2015	2016	2017
Essential Services	0	83,709	15,124
Operations	0	74,642	204,643
Renovation	0	0	0

Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	158,351	219,767

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2015	2016	2017
Street Outreach	0	0	0
HMIS	9,964	3,380	0
Administration	0	15,720	0

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2015	2016	2017
	61,048	250,405	289,252

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2015	2016	2017
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	20,785	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	82,069
State Government	0	446,551	111,608
Local Government	0	47,359	399,118

Private Funds	0	0	0
Other	0	885,250	803,359
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	0	1,399,945	1,396,154

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2015	2016	2017
	61,048	1,650,350	1,685,406

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities